

MASTER FILES  
ROOM HQ C-525      0450

SM/99/91  
Supplement 1

April 19, 1999

To:            Members of the Executive Board

From:        The Acting Secretary

Subject:      **Further Considerations Toward a Contingent  
Credit Line—Further Follow Up—Proposed Decision**

The attached supplement to the further follow up paper on further considerations toward a contingent credit line (SM/99/91, 4/15/99) contains a draft decision and explanatory text. This paper is circulated in connection with the Board discussion on this matter tentatively scheduled for Wednesday, April 21, 1999.

Mr. Bennett (ext. 38784), Mr. Corr (ext. 38774), or Mr. Leckow (ext. 34799) is available to answer technical or factual questions relating to this paper prior to the Board discussion.

Att: (1)

Other Distribution:  
Department Heads



**INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND**

**Further Considerations Toward a Contingent Credit Line—  
Further Follow Up—Proposed Decision**

Prepared by the Policy Development and Review Department,  
the Legal Department and the Treasurer's Department

Approved by Jack Boorman, François Gianviti and David Williams

April 19, 1999

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Set out in the first section below is a proposed decision which takes the form of an amendment to the decision on the Supplemental Reserve Facility. It introduces a new section which governs Contingent Credit Lines. Adoption of this decision requires an 85 percent majority of the total voting power. Since a number of elements in this decision require some elaboration in order to express the Board's view as to how they should operate, a draft explanatory text providing this elaboration is also provided in the second section below, for the Board's consideration. This explanatory text could be incorporated into a summing up.

**II. PROPOSED DECISION**

2. Decision No. 11627-(97/123) SRF, adopted December 17, 1997, as amended, on the Supplemental Reserve Facility shall be amended in the following manner.

1. The title of the decision shall be amended to read "The Supplemental Reserve Facility and Contingent Credit Lines" and the title immediately before paragraph 1 shall be amended to read "I. Supplemental Reserve Facility."

2. The following shall be added immediately after paragraph 12.

## **“II. Contingent Credit Lines**

13. Through [April --, 2001], the Fund will be prepared to commit and provide financial assistance to a member under the terms and conditions specified in this section.

14. Financing under this section will be committed to a member: (i) that is implementing policies that are considered unlikely to give rise to a need to use Fund resources, or whose balance of payments problem is due to the circumstances identified in paragraph 15; (ii) whose policies have received a positive assessment from the Fund at its last Article IV consultation and whose policies have continued to be assessed favorably by the Fund thereafter, taking into account the member's adherence to relevant internationally-accepted standards; (iii) that is maintaining constructive relations with its private creditors and has made satisfactory progress in limiting external vulnerability through the management of the level and structure of its external debt and international reserves; and (iv) that has submitted a satisfactory economic and financial program, including a quantified framework, which the member stands ready to adjust as needed.

15. Financing under this section will be provided where, as a result of circumstances that are largely beyond the control of the member and that stem primarily from adverse developments in international capital markets consequent upon developments in other countries, the member is experiencing exceptional payments difficulties due to a large short-term financing need resulting from a sudden and disruptive loss of market confidence reflected in pressure on the

capital account and the member's reserves, if there is a reasonable expectation that adequate financing and the implementation of any necessary adjustment policies will result, within a short period of time, in an early correction of such difficulties.

16. Financing under this section will be committed and provided under a stand-by or extended arrangement, in addition to resources in the credit tranches or under the extended Fund facility. Financing will be committed under this section in cases where purchases in the credit tranches or under the extended Fund facility, taking into account outstanding purchases, would otherwise exceed either the annual or cumulative access limit.

17. The Fund may commit resources under this section at any time under an arrangement but will only make such resources available when it finds that the member is experiencing the balance of payments difficulties described in paragraph 15. The arrangement will specify the amount of resources committed under this section and will provide for the availability of such resources to be made subject to the completion of a review by the Fund. When the Fund decides to make such resources available to a member, it may phase such resources in as many purchases and subject to such conditionality as it considers appropriate. The Fund may commit resources under this section for a period of up to one year and, after it decides to make such resources available, may extend such period for up to one year from the date such resources are made available.

18. Paragraphs 6 to 11 of this Decision shall apply to purchases under this section.

19. This section shall be reviewed no later than April --, 2000.”

### **III. DRAFT EXPLANATORY TEXT**

3. The following draft explanatory text elaborates on a number of elements in the draft decision above.

1. Directors have given extensive consideration to a role for the Fund in providing members with contingent credit lines (CCLs). There is now agreement to proceed with this concept, essentially as an important instrument of crisis prevention, creating further incentives for the adoption of strong policies, encouraging the constructive involvement of the private sector, and thereby reducing the risks of contagion, and Directors have approved the decision establishing the CCL (Decision No.—,—). There are, however, a number of elements in this decision which require some elaboration in order to express the Board’s view as to how they will operate.

2. Foremost among these elements are the four eligibility criteria referred to in paragraph 14 of the decision. As is clear from the decision, and as Directors have agreed, for a member to be eligible for the CCL, it must satisfy all four of these criteria. Directors have also agreed, however, that in assessing whether an individual criterion is satisfied, the Executive Board

would take into account a range of factors, and would exercise judgement as to whether a sufficient “critical mass” of factors relevant to the criterion is in evidence.

3. Let me start with the first criterion, which covers two different situations. First, it is agreed that, for a member to be eligible for the CCL, the member’s policies should normally be such that, absent a balance of payments problem of the type for which CCL resources are intended (i.e., contagion), the member would not otherwise be expected to need to use Fund resources. This criterion would not exclude members with arrangements in place where members are treating these arrangements as precautionary and this position is consistent with plausible balance of payments projections. Second, it is understood that members which are using, or which intend to use, Fund resources would also be eligible if the Board judges that their balance of payments problem stems primarily from contagion.

4. As indicated by the second criterion, the member’s policies should “have received a positive assessment from the Fund at its last Article IV consultation” and its policies should have “continued to be assessed favorably by the Fund thereafter, taking into account the member’s adherence to relevant internationally accepted standards”. It is understood that by a “positive assessment”, it is meant that the Board should have expressed its broad satisfaction with the member’s policy stance and prospects, although this does not necessarily mean an assessment entirely devoid of recommendations for changes in policy. But it would be important that the Board should be of the view that the member’s policies themselves would not expose it to significant risk of balance of payments pressure, and this should be true both of the member’s

policies in the recent past and of the policies it plans to implement in the future. This broadly satisfactory assessment should have been expressed at the time of the most recent Article IV consultation, and reaffirmed again, with respect to the policies the member has been implementing and the policy plans it has framed since the Article IV consultation, at the time of the commitment of CCL resources.

5. Directors are agreed that, in judging eligibility under this criterion, the Board should take into account the member's progress in adhering to relevant internationally accepted standards. Some of these standards, of course, have yet to be developed. Full adherence to individual standards would not, at this stage, be an absolute requirement. But I think it is accepted that the Board should, at this early stage, focus closely on the member's adherence, or progress toward adherence, to the Basle Core Principles for Banking Supervision and the Special Data Dissemination Standard, the code of transparency in the area of fiscal policy and, when it is agreed, the code of transparency in monetary and financial policy. Other standards could also be added as they are developed, so long as the Fund is able to assess adherence, possibly taking into account the views of other organizations. As standards are developed and experience is gained, the question of requiring adherence to certain standards could be reviewed.

6. The third criterion provides that a member would be eligible if "it is maintaining constructive relations with its private creditors and has made satisfactory progress in limiting external vulnerability through the management of the level and structure of its external debt".



This is a complex area, and many aspects of it remain to be fully worked out. In view of this, Directors have accepted that a judgmental approach to assessing overall progress in this area will need to be relied upon at this stage. Nevertheless, they have pointed to a number of factors and considerations that would be relevant to this criterion. For a member to be judged to have constructive relations with private creditors, for example, it would seem essential that the member not have external payments arrears on sovereign debt, nor on private debt as a result of exchange controls. In examining a member's request under the CCL, the Executive Board would take account of its sovereign credit ratings in the private markets. In addition, it would be reasonable to expect that the member should have sought to put in place, as they are developed, effective arrangements to involve private creditors when pressure develops in its balance of payments. These might include, by way of example, (i) contingent private credit lines, (ii) call options in debt instruments, which would permit the debtor to extend their maturity, (iii) terms and conditions in recent and forthcoming bond contracts that include provision for the adjustment of terms by qualified majorities, collective representation provisions, and sharing clauses, (iv) as they are developed, other debt instruments designed to provide efficient and appropriate insurance against shocks, and (v) a framework for debtor-creditor discussions. It has to be recognized that most of these arrangements are not yet in general use, and we will need both to evaluate what countries have achieved in this area relative to changing practices, and to be prepared to learn from experience. As experience is gained and instruments are developed, this checklist will no doubt be extended and, as in the area of standards, we could consider whether it would be possible to define more concretely a critical mass of steps that should be expected.

7. In assessing the member's external vulnerability and the management of its external debt profile, the Board will take into account a range of factors or "sustainability checks" including, *inter alia*, the evolution of the real exchange rate (to establish that this has not moved to an unsustainable level), the level and composition (currency denomination and maturity profile) of public debt (including with reference to derivatives, and with consideration of creditors' put options), the level and composition of external debt (including with reference to derivatives, and with consideration of creditors' put options), the level of gross and net international reserves, the share of short term external debt unmatched by private contingent credit lines or reserves, the net foreign asset position of commercial banks, and the evolution of domestic credit in relation to GDP. The policies the member has implemented with a view to limiting vulnerability would also be taken into account. The appropriateness of the exchange rate regime will be important in this respect, but other factors will also be relevant, such as the degree to which the member has avoided bias (for instance, in its regulatory and tax system) in favor of short-term borrowing and the existence of a system to monitor private external liabilities.

8. The overall objective, in asking a member to satisfy this criterion, would be for it and the Fund to have reasonable assurances that the member would be able to withstand and/or finance a reasonable portion of any financing requirement that might emerge in the context of contagion. Ordinarily, the member would thus be expected both to have taken steps to limit its external vulnerability and to have put arrangements in place that would involve the private sector in the event of a crisis. To assist the Board's assessment in this respect, the staff and

the authorities should work to provide quantified “stress simulations” which will aim to take into account both potential outflows and secured inflows in the event of a crisis.

9. The final criterion requires that a member should submit for the Board’s approval “a satisfactory economic and financial program, including a quantified framework, which the member stands ready to adjust as needed”. As is customary in support of a request for access to Fund resources, the member would be expected to present to the Board a description of its planned economic policies for the period for which access to CCL resources is approved, including a quantified framework that will guide its macroeconomic policies, and the structural policies it intends to implement. Such policies would be expected to be of sufficient quality and strength that they would meet the standards required of drawings in the upper credit tranches. The quantified framework should be specified in such a way that the staff and the Board would be able to form a rapid assessment of the member’s compliance with it and thereby facilitate the release of resources upon the request for activation of the CCL. While this would not necessarily involve monthly benchmarks, it would involve regular and timely provision of relevant data to the staff and continuous monitoring by the staff of the country’s economic situation.

10. I turn now to the subject of access. Directors are generally agreed that there should be no access limit. Nonetheless, I think it is accepted that in the absence of good reasons for coming to a different conclusion in a particular case, initial commitments under the CCL would typically be set in a range of 300 to 500 percent of quota. Access policy under the CCL would

be an important part of the focus of the review of the CCL, scheduled for one year from its inception.

11. CCL resources would be committed under a stand-by or extended arrangement. Upon a commitment of CCL resources, a small purchase of credit tranche or EFF resources (typically 5 percent of quota) would be made available. No further resources would be made available until the Board completes a special review (which I will call the “activation review”). This approach would also be applied if CCL resources are committed in the context of an existing arrangement (namely, in accordance with eligibility criterion (i), an arrangement that the member treats as precautionary, or an arrangement where the Board has judged that the member’s need to draw primarily reflects contagion).

12. A member for which a CCL has been approved may, at any time, request that the Board complete the activation review. The Board would complete this review, and make available the associated purchase, if it were satisfied that: (i) the member is experiencing exceptional balance of payments difficulties due to a large short-term financing need resulting from a sudden and disruptive loss of market confidence reflected in pressure on the capital account and the member’s reserves, (ii) these difficulties are judged to be largely beyond the member’s control and to be primarily from adverse developments in international capital markets consequent upon developments in one or several other countries, (iii) up to the time of the crisis, the member has successfully implemented the economic program that it had presented to the Board as a basis for its access to CCL resources, and (iv) the member is committed to

adjusting policies to deal with any real economic impact that may follow from contagion. For a prespecified amount of the resources committed, the member would generally be given the benefit of the doubt with respect to (iv) unless it were evident that a major modification of policies were required.

13. The amount that would be available at the time of activation, for the which member would be given the benefit of the doubt as just described, would be determined by the Board upon approval of the arrangement involving access to CCL resources, and would be up to 100 percent of quota. This amount would consist entirely of CCL resources. The remaining amount committed would either be released also at that time, or be phased through the remainder, or extension of, the arrangement. The conditionality associated with these purchases will be similar to that already associated with the Supplemental Reserve Facility.

14. Upon approval of the arrangement committing CCL resources, the Board will schedule a mid-term review to be completed by a specified date if the activation review is not completed before this date. After this date has passed, the mid-term review will need to be completed before a purchase associated with the activation review can be released. At the mid-term review, the Board would satisfy itself that the member was successfully implementing the economic program earlier presented to the Board and had adjusted that program appropriately in response to any changes in circumstances. In the event the Board was not satisfied with the member's policies, it would convey this assessment to the member.

15. The introduction of the CCL is an important initiative for the Fund. It involves several aspects that are new or still under development, and we will need to approach it experimentally, with a view to learning and, if necessary, adapting it. In any case, we will have a full review in a year's time.

MASTER FILES  
ROOM HQ C-525 0450

SM/99/91  
Supplement 2

April 24, 1999

To: Members of the Executive Board

From: The Secretary

Subject: **Contingent Credit Lines—Decision and Summing Up**

Attached for the records of the Executive Directors is the text of the decision on Contingent Credit Lines adopted by the Board on Executive Board Meeting 99/48 (4/23/99), together with the summing up by the Chairman which clarifies the manner in which certain features of the decision are to be applied.

Att: (1)

Other Distribution:  
Department Heads





## **INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND**

### **Contingent Credit Lines**

#### **Executive Board Decision – April 23, 1999**

Effective April 26, 1999, Decision No. 11627-(97/123) SRF, adopted December 17, 1997, as amended, on the Supplemental Reserve Facility shall be amended in the following manner.

1. The title of the decision shall be amended to read “The Supplemental Reserve Facility and Contingent Credit Lines” and the title immediately before paragraph 1 shall be amended to read “I. Supplemental Reserve Facility.” References to “this Decision” in paragraphs 1 through 12 shall be replaced by “this section”.
2. The following shall be added immediately after paragraph 12.

#### **“II. Contingent Credit Lines**

13. Through May 4, 2001, the Fund will be prepared to commit and provide financial assistance to a member under the terms and conditions specified in this section.
14. Financing under this section will be committed to a member: (i) that, at the time of commitment, is implementing policies that are considered unlikely to give rise to a need to use Fund resources, and is not facing balance of payments difficulties of the type described in paragraph 15; (ii) whose policies have received a positive assessment from the Fund at its last Article IV consultation and whose policies have continued to be assessed favorably by the Fund thereafter based on economic indicators reflecting domestic stability and external sustainability, and taking into account the extent of the member’s adherence to relevant internationally-accepted standards; in particular, the member would have subscribed to the Special Data Dissemination Standard and be judged to be making satisfactory progress towards meeting its requirements; (iii) that is maintaining constructive relations with its private creditors with a view to facilitating appropriate involvement of the private sector, and has made satisfactory progress in limiting external vulnerability through the management of the level and structure of its external debt and international reserves; and (iv) that has submitted a satisfactory economic and financial program, including a quantified framework, which the member stands ready to adjust as needed.
15. Financing under this section will be provided where, as a result of circumstances that are largely beyond the control of the member and that stem primarily from adverse developments in international capital markets consequent upon developments in other

countries, the member is experiencing exceptional payments difficulties due to a large short-term financing need resulting from a sudden and disruptive loss of market confidence reflected in pressure on the capital account and the member's reserves, if there is a reasonable expectation that adequate financing and the implementation of any necessary adjustment policies will result, within a short period of time, in an early correction of such difficulties.

16. Financing under this section will be committed and provided under a stand-by arrangement, in addition to resources in the credit tranches. Financing will be committed under this section in cases where purchases in the credit tranches or under the extended Fund facility, taking into account outstanding purchases, would otherwise exceed either the annual or cumulative access limit.

17. The Fund may commit resources under this section at any time under an arrangement but will only make such resources available when it finds that the member meets the conditions specified in paragraph 15. The arrangement will specify the amount of resources committed under this section and will normally provide for the continued availability of such resources beyond a specified date to be subject to the completion of a program review by the Fund. When the Fund decides to make such resources available to a member, it may phase such resources in as many purchases and subject to such conditionality as it considers appropriate. The Fund may commit resources under this section for a period of up to one year and, after it decides to make such resources available, may extend such period for up to one year from the date such resources are made available.

18. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 16, financing under this section may be committed and provided under any extended arrangement in effect at the time the provisions of this section become effective.

19. Paragraphs 6 to 11 of this Decision shall apply to purchases under this section.

20. This section and its operation will be reviewed no later than May 5, 2000."

**Summing Up by the Chairman  
Contingent Credit Lines  
Executive Board Meeting 99/48  
April 23, 1999**

Directors have given extensive consideration to a role for the Fund in providing members with contingent credit lines (CCLs). There is now agreement to proceed with this concept, essentially as an important instrument of crisis prevention, creating further incentives for the adoption of strong policies and adherence to internationally accepted standards, encouraging the constructive involvement of the private sector, and thereby reducing the risks of contagion, and Directors have approved the decision establishing the CCL. There are, however, a number of elements in this decision which require some elaboration in order to express the Board's understanding as to how they will operate.

Foremost among these elements are the four eligibility criteria referred to in paragraph 14 of the decision. As is clear from the decision, and as Directors have agreed, for a member to be eligible for the CCL, it must satisfy all four of these criteria. Directors have also agreed, however, that in assessing whether an individual criterion is satisfied, the Executive Board would take into account a range of factors, and would exercise judgement as to whether a sufficient "critical mass" of factors relevant to the criterion is in evidence.

Let me start with the first criterion. It is agreed that, for a member to be eligible for the CCL, the member's policies should be such that, absent a future balance of payments problem of the type for which CCL resources are intended, the member would not otherwise be expected to need to use Fund resources. This criterion would not exclude members with arrangements in place where members are treating these arrangements as precautionary or where drawings are outstanding but the need for further drawings under the arrangements is judged to have ceased as confirmed by the member.

As indicated by the second criterion, the member's policies should "have received a positive assessment from the Fund at its last Article IV consultation" and its policies should have "continued to be assessed favorably by the Fund thereafter based on economic indicators reflecting domestic stability and external sustainability, and taking into account the extent of the member's adherence to relevant internationally accepted standards". It is understood that by a "positive assessment", it is meant that the Board should have expressed its broad satisfaction with the member's policy stance and prospects, although this does not necessarily mean an assessment entirely devoid of recommendations for changes in policy. But it would be important that the Board should be of the view that the member's policies themselves would not expose it to significant risk of balance of payments pressure, and this should be true both of the member's policies in the recent past and of the policies it plans to implement in the future. This broadly satisfactory assessment should have been expressed at the time of the most recent Article IV consultation, and reaffirmed, with respect to the policies the

member has been implementing and the policy plans it has framed since the Article IV consultation, at the time of the commitment of CCL resources. If the member has not already done so, the Fund would strongly encourage a member that contemplates use of the CCL to volunteer for the pilot project and publish its Article IV staff report.

Directors are agreed that, in judging eligibility under this criterion, the Board should take into account the member's progress in adhering to relevant internationally accepted standards. The member would have subscribed to the Special Data Dissemination Standard and be judged to be making satisfactory progress toward meeting its requirements. In addition, at this early stage, the Board would take into account the member's adherence, or progress toward adherence, to the Basle Core Principles for Banking Supervision, the code of transparency in the area of fiscal policy and, when it is agreed, the code of transparency in monetary and financial policy. Other standards, some of which are still under development, could also be added as they are developed, so long as the Fund is able to assess adherence, possibly taking into account the views of other organizations. As standards are developed and experience is gained, the question of requiring adherence to certain standards could be reviewed.

The third criterion provides that a member would be eligible if it is maintaining constructive relations with its private creditors with a view to facilitating appropriate involvement of the private sector, and has made satisfactory progress in limiting external vulnerability through the management of the level and structure of its external debt. This is a complex area, and many aspects of it remain to be fully worked out. Directors have accepted that a judgmental approach to assessing overall progress in this area will be needed, and they have pointed to a number of factors and considerations that would be relevant to this criterion. For a member to be judged to have constructive relations with private creditors, for example, it would seem essential that the member not have external payments arrears on sovereign debt, nor on private debt as a result of exchange controls. In examining a member's request under the CCL, the Executive Board would take account of market assessments of the country's situation. In addition, a member should have in place, or demonstrate that it is making credible efforts toward putting in place, appropriate arrangements to involve the private sector. These might include, by way of example, (i) contingent private credit lines or similar arrangements, (ii) call options in debt instruments, which would permit the debtor to extend their maturity, (iii) terms and conditions in recent and forthcoming bond contracts that include provision for the adjustment of terms by qualified majorities, collective representation provisions, and sharing clauses, (iv) as they are developed, other debt instruments designed to provide efficient and appropriate insurance against shocks, (v) a framework for debtor-creditor discussions, (vi) effective debt management procedures, and (vii) strong domestic bankruptcy regimes. It has to be recognized that most of these arrangements are not yet in general use, and we will need both to evaluate what countries have achieved in this area relative to changing practices, and be prepared to learn from experience. As experience is gained and instruments are developed, this checklist will need to be adapted and, as in the area of standards, we could consider whether it would be possible to define more concretely a

critical mass of steps that should be expected. It would be appropriate to consider all these issues in the context of the review of the CCL.

In assessing the member's external vulnerability and the management of its external debt profile, the Board will take into account a range of factors or "sustainability checks" including, inter alia, the evolution of the real exchange rate (to establish that this has not moved to an unsustainable level), the level and composition (currency denomination and maturity profile) of public debt (including with reference to derivatives, and with consideration of creditors' put options), the level and composition of external debt (including with reference to derivatives, and with consideration of creditors' put options), the level of gross and net international reserves, the share of short term external debt unmatched by private contingent credit lines or reserves, the net foreign asset position of commercial banks, and the evolution of domestic credit in relation to GDP. To assist the Board's assessment in this respect, the staff and the authorities should work to provide quantified "stress simulations" which will aim to take into account both potential outflows and secured inflows in the event of a crisis. The policies the member has implemented with a view to limiting vulnerability would also be taken into account. The appropriateness of the exchange rate regime will be important in this respect, but other factors will also be relevant, such as the degree to which the member has avoided bias (for instance, in its regulatory and tax system) in favor of short-term borrowing and the existence of a system to monitor private external liabilities.

The final criterion requires that a member should submit for the Board's approval "a satisfactory economic and financial program, including a quantified framework, which the member stands ready to adjust as needed". As is customary in support of a request for access to Fund resources, the member would present to the Board a description of its planned economic policies for the period for which access to CCL resources is approved, including a quantified framework that will guide its macroeconomic policies, and the structural policies it intends to implement. There would be a strong presumption that this statement of policies would be released to the public. Such policies would be expected to be of sufficient quality and strength that they would meet the standards required of drawings in the upper credit tranches. The quantified framework should be specified in such a way that the staff and the Board would be able to form a rapid assessment of the member's compliance with it and thereby facilitate the rapid release of resources upon the request for activation of the CCL. While this would not necessarily involve monthly benchmarks, it would involve regular and timely provision of relevant data to the staff and continuous monitoring by the staff of the country's economic situation.

I turn now to the subject of access. While there is no general access limit, it is accepted that, unless warranted by exceptional circumstances and while paying due regard to the liquidity position of the Fund, commitments under the CCL would be expected to be in a range of 300-500 percent of quota. Access policy under the CCL would be an important part of the focus of the review of the CCL, scheduled for one year from its inception.

In its consideration of a member's request for a commitment of the Fund's resources under the CCL, the Executive Board will also consider the potential impact on the Fund's liquidity position and on the level of the Fund's usable and potentially available resources over the period of the requested commitment. The Executive Board will monitor the Fund's liquidity position on a continuing basis paying particular regard to the possible evolution of commitments under the CCL as well as under the Fund's other facilities. The Executive Board will also consider in the light of experience the appropriate method to assess the impact of CCL commitments and possible purchases on the Fund's liquidity position.

CCL resources would be committed under a stand-by arrangement.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with the principles on access under arrangements, upon Board approval of an arrangement establishing a contingent credit line, a small purchase of credit tranche resources (typically 5 percent of quota) would be immediately available. Beyond this, activation of the credit line will require a Board review. This approach would also be applied if CCL resources are committed in the context of an existing arrangement (namely an arrangement that the member treats as precautionary).

A member for which a CCL has been approved may, at any time, request access to CCL resources, which would require a special "activation" review by the Board. The Board would expeditiously complete this review, and make available the associated purchase, if it were satisfied that: (i) the member is experiencing exceptional balance of payments difficulties due to a large short-term financing need resulting from a sudden and disruptive loss of market confidence reflected in pressure on the capital account and the member's reserves, (ii) these difficulties are judged to be largely beyond the member's control and to be primarily from adverse developments in international capital markets consequent upon developments in one or several other countries, (iii) up to the time of the crisis, the member has successfully implemented the economic program that it had presented to the Board as a basis for its access to CCL resources, and (iv) the member is committed to adjusting policies to deal with any real economic impact that may follow from contagion. The monitoring arrangements already in place would allow this review to be completed rapidly. At the time of the activation review, the Board would decide on the amount to be released immediately and on the phasing of the remaining amount through the remainder, or extension, of the arrangement, as well as on the related conditionality.

Upon approval of the arrangement committing CCL resources, the Board will schedule a mid-term review to be completed by a specified date if the activation review is not completed before this date. After this date has passed, the mid-term review will need to be completed before a purchase associated with the activation review can be released. At the mid-term review, the Board would satisfy itself that the member was successfully

---

<sup>1</sup>However, CCL resources could also be committed under an extended arrangement in effect at the time this decision is adopted.

implementing the economic program earlier presented to the Board and had adjusted that program appropriately in response to any changes in circumstances.

The introduction of the CCL is an important initiative for the Fund. It involves several aspects that are new or still under development, and we will need to approach it experimentally, with a view to learning and, if necessary, adapting it. In any case, we will have a full review in a year's time.

