

BUFF/07/151

November 15, 2007

**The Chair's Summing Up
Financial Soundness Indicators—Experience with the
Coordinated Compilation Exercise and Next Steps
Executive Board Meeting 07/97
November 7, 2007**

Executive Directors commended the Fund's work on the conduct of the Coordinated Compilation Exercise (CCE) for Financial Soundness Indicators (FSIs), which involved sixty-two Fund members as well as cooperating international organizations. The CCE has helped strengthen the FSI compilation capacities of the participating members, and provided useful support for their work on financial stability issues. Directors noted that the CCE, as a pilot undertaking, has yielded valuable lessons for FSI methodology, and for guiding the Fund's work in this area more generally.

Directors considered the Fund's work on FSIs to be appropriately aligned with the Fund's Medium-Term Strategy and as contributing to the Fund's responsibilities on surveillance and crisis prevention. Directors underscored the importance of continued Fund engagement with regard to FSIs, consistent with its overall budgetary envelope.

Directors had a wide-ranging discussion on various aspects of the Fund's FSI work. They noted that the variety of methodologies in compiling FSIs that were observed in the CCE reflect the differences across member economies in supervisory and accounting practices, in the availability of data, and in the costs of data collection, as well as different approaches in methodology. In this context, Directors emphasized the importance of adequate metadata, which allow more informed comparisons.

Directors were of the view that FSIs represent an important starting point for analysis of financial stability and a key element of the Fund's financial soundness assessment toolkit. Directors urged that FSIs continue to be a standard part of surveillance, FSAP reports, and the Fund's Vulnerability Exercise, and welcomed the increasing reporting of such indicators in staff reports. As FSIs are generally current or lagging indicators of soundness, they must be complemented by analysis of higher frequency market-based data to provide more forward-looking information on expectations and volatility. In this context, a few Directors considered that the Vulnerability Exercise should be expanded to cover developed countries. Several Directors also called for further reflection on how best to use FSIs for anticipating possible future risks.

Directors noted that FSIs need to be interpreted with caution given the diversity of the accounting, regulatory, and legal systems that underpin them. Directors underlined the importance of ensuring that FSIs remain reliable and relevant at an individual country level, even if full comparability across countries is difficult to achieve. At the same time, Directors

felt that the CCE has been helpful in fostering convergence in compilation methodology over the medium term, and by introducing transparency through metadata. Directors called for further progress on improving cross-country comparability, which they saw as a key benefit of the Fund's role in FSI compilation, and encouraged continued efforts by the Fund and other international agencies to harmonize the methodologies of data compilation and reporting. Directors noted that the Fund's *Guide* had been helpful during the CCE, and acknowledged the need for some amendments to the *Guide* in light of experience.

Directors generally agreed that, for the time being, the list of “core” and “encouraged” FSIs should remain unchanged. Looking ahead, they urged the staff to give consideration, within the medium-term budgetary envelope, to further refining the list of FSIs. Some Directors called for inclusion of specific new FSIs for other financial corporations, and nonfinancial corporations and households, especially as these tend to be leading indicators of vulnerability. However, there was broad agreement that any proposed additions to the FSI list should be based on consultations with staff and Executive Directors, as well as with international experts. Directors agreed that FSIs should not be included as a required data category in the Special Data Dissemination Standard at this time.

Directors saw clear value in the regular collection and dissemination of FSIs by the Fund, with the creation of a centralized public FSI database that would be available to member countries, international institutions, and markets. This would enhance data availability, encourage greater cross-country comparability of indicators in financial analysis, contribute to greater transparency, and reduce the reporting burden of countries to the Fund—thereby enhancing Fund surveillance. A few Directors felt that consideration should be given to charging a fee for access by investors to the database.

Directors agreed that countries should be encouraged—but not required—to report FSIs to the Fund. Many Directors supported the voluntary provision of FSIs with quarterly periodicity and with a one quarter lag, while many others felt that semiannual or annual reporting would be sufficient.

Directors noted that the CCE had been funded by a reallocation of STA resources. They considered that work on FSIs should remain a priority for STA, and funded within its budget. Some Directors, however, were concerned that the FSI work had come at the expense of traditional STA activities, such as technical assistance in macroeconomic statistics. Directors emphasized that the Fund's future work on FSIs—including the pace at which FSI reporting is expanded to additional countries—will have to be mindful of the institution's increasingly challenging budgetary environment. A number of Directors preferred a slower pace than the proposed 10 new countries per year, to allow time to assess priorities and to consolidate lessons learned. Several Directors emphasized that a proper assessment of the relative Fund-wide priority of FSI work would need be placed in the context of the overall effort to ensure that the Fund continues to fulfill its core mandate.