

In reply refer to BC

DEPARTMENT OF STATE WASHINGTON

February 23, 1945

Dear Mr. White:

I enclose a copy of the despatch from London about which I spoke to you this afternoon. If you have any further thoughts on the matter please let me know. I will keep in mind your desire to talk to Boothby.

Sincerely yours,

Thehecartilles

Theodore C. Achilles Chief, Division of British Commonwealth Affairs

Enclosure:

Despatch No. 20792 February 2, 1945

Harry B. White, Esquire

Treasury Department,

Washington, D. C.



Charles are white: Tor willen the fall of a whatten T . woon emeral

> RECEIVED Treasury Department FEB 27 1945 Office of Assistant Secretary White

Enclosure:

- AnglandasaWi

AND TEACHER OF STANDERS OF THE PARTY OF THE

AIR FAIL

RESTRICTED

London, February 2, 1945.

No. 20792

Subject: Eacting with ir. Robert Boothby, Leaber of Parliament, Leader of the Opposition to Bretton Woods, Who Will Lecture in the United States.

The Monorable The Secretary of State, Lashington.

Sir:

I have the honor to refer to the Embassy's telegrams

I have the honor to refer to the Embassy's telegrams No. 1134 of January 31, No. 1135 and 1137 of February 1 in regard to recent discussion in the House of Commons by Nr. Robert Boothby (Conservative) upon the Bretton Woods Final Act.

The Department will recall that ir. Boothby is leading the fight in Parliament against the ratification by Great Britain of the Bretton Woods Final Ret. The Department is also aware that ir. Boothby is leaving this evening by sea for the United States where he is to engage in a lecture tour which will take him to Chicago and Washington and include some eight or ten other large cities in the East and Middle West.

recently called upon Ir. Eden, who had told Mr. Boothby that "he should not be himself, he should be prudent". Mr. Boothby quoted the Foreign Secretary as having stated that Mr. Boothby was the first member of Parliament who did not represent Mis Lajesty's Government to go to the United States in some time; that he hoped Mr. Boothby would not "get into a row" but that he felt the American public night be interested to hear an expression of British opinion which was not "Government propaganda". Mr. Boothby stated that at the end of his interview with Mr. Eden, Mr. Eden had inquired what he intended to say in regard to Bretton Joods and Mr. Boothby stated that he and the Foreign Secretary agreed that it would be "both inopportune and impertinent for him to comment upon Bretton Joods before it had been considered by the American Jongress". An Officer of the Embassy reminded Mr. Boothby that only two days ago in Parliament he had spoken on many phases of monetary policy without nentioning Bretton Joods. Mr. Boothby agreed, and stated that he would raise in the United States many of the same points made in his recent speech and covered by the Embassy's telegrams above referred to, but he jokingly reiterated that

he still would not be talking about "Bretton Woods" in view of his agreement that it would be "inopportune and importinent" to do so. In reply to a question, Er. Boothby stated that in addition to the general line on financial policy which he has expressed in Parliament, his speeches in the United States would touch upon a wide variety of subjects including "the brave new world". Er. Boothby also stated that he was not "afraid of Dumbarton Caks as I am of Bretton Woods". Hr. Boothby later stated that he was not actually so opposed to Bretton Woods as some of his speeches might indicate, but that he did feel that it tied Britain's hands too much in that it would prevent a devaluation of sterling in an amount in excess of 10 percent. He cited the devaluation of the American dollar in 1934 as having been a great stroke of good business for the United States and he apparently did not want Britain to be in a position where she could not herself exercise the right to do likewise. He also indicated that he would wish that Great Britain could denounce Bretton Loods and get out of it more easily if it were found to be inoperative.

The Embassy feels that although ir. Boothby is the leader of the Opposition to the ratification of Bretton Woods by Great Britain and although he has repeatedly indulged in outbursts against the Final Act, his speeches in the United States may not be as violently anti-Bretton Woods as have been his speeches in Parliment. Hr. Boothby had not seen at the time of the meeting here reported any outline or comment upon the alternative plan to Bretton Woods proposed by the American Bankers Association, reports of which were published only in outline form in this morning's papers. Then Mr. Boothby was told that the American Bankers Association appeared to contemplate a merger of activities of the Bretton Woods Fund and Bank he felt that that would be an improvement over the Bretton Woods Final Act.

The Department may recall from the Embassy's despatch No.6740 of February 12, 1941, that Mr. Boothby's conduct as a Member of Parliament was a subject of investigation by a Select Committee of the House of Commons and that as a result of the findings of this Committee he resigned his position as Parliamentary Secretary of the Hinistry of Food because the Committee found his conduct to be contrary to the usage and derogatory to the dignity of the House and in-consistent with the standards which Parliament is entitled to expect from its members. It appears that Mr. Boothby was active in the House in favoring the passage of an Act to guarantee certain Czech claims in which he may have had a personal interest. After his resignation as a junior Minister of the Government he joined the Royal Air Force, in which he served in 1941 and 1942, but returned to civilian life and since 1943 has been taking an active part in financial debates in Parliament. Further information in regard to Mr. Boothby's recent activities are contained in the Embassy's despatches 10.18424 of October 5, 1944, and No. 20449 of January 18, 1945.

It will be seen from Mr. Boothby's past performance that he comes to the United States as no friend of Bretton Woods, and that he has been a strong advocate of Empire trade and bilateralism. However, it might be that a British Member of Parliament speaking in the United States against Bretton Woods on the ground that it ties Britain's hands too much could serve to develop American public opinion in favor of Bretton Woods.

Respectfully yours,

For the Ambassador:

Herbert P. Fales, Second Secretary of Embassy.

HPF: dm

Criginal and hectograph to Department.